

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/020,104	MONTGOMERY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kieu D Vu	2173	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kieu D Vu. (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Ben Volk. (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 March 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*obviousness-type double patenting rejection with claim 1 of US patent 6,380,950*

Claims discussed:

*33 of present application and claim 1 of US patent 6,380,950*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's Representative agrees to file a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome the Double Patenting rejection of claim 33 over claim 1 of US patent 6,380,950.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**RAYMOND J. BAYERL**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
**ART UNIT 2173**

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)